

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,920	HAKKINEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Robert C. Scheibel. (3) _____.

(2) James A. Retter (Reg. No. 41,266). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2006

Time: 11:10 AM EDT

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-7, 29, and 42-44

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner recommended changes to the claims above to clarify a few items in the claims (primarily 112, antecedent basis issues). Applicant agreed to these changes.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 5-25-06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)